G. B. S. 58.
Previous references.

File No. 11 9/0 7

1907

Serial Nos. 1 — 8.

(Courts)

Later references.

GS 2'2 6@f07 Sls 1—4

Ch 41-1v

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 8

Part B. for February '08

SUBJECT.

Establishments of certain munsiffs' Courts

Table of Contents -

From The Registrar, Chief Court. no 1680/98 dt 27.8.07
Reports that the scale of clerical & menial establishments may be sanctioned for the newly sanctioned munsiffs courts at Chikmagalur & Hangal as was allowed to those courts prior to 13th Dec 1902, when they were abolished.

To the same no 9808 dt 19.9.07
Enquires whether the old scale of the establishments of these courts prior to the abolition Dec'02 is sought to be revived

GPB—85000—7-1907

From the same No 2173/112 Dt/17-9-07

Explains that the old Scale was recommended as the territorial jurisdiction and larger work of these courts was considered to necessitate a reversion to the old Scales of these courts.

To the same No J 1188-90 Dt/22-10-07.

Observes that it is too late to consider the old Scale of establishments proposed by the Chief Court; directs that for the current year at all events the Scale fixed in G.O No J 1400-6 Dt/27-11-08, viz that of the abolished courts at Doddaballapur & Yedahalli should be adhered to and that extra hands entertained should be dispensed with. and adds that if on experience the Scale is found inadequate a reference may be made before framing the budget for the next year.

From the same No 3111/170 Dt/1-11-07

States that the work in the Hassan & Chikmagalur Munsiff's courts is much heavier than what it was in the Doddaballapur & Yedahalli courts; that the increase of establishments for the courts is very necessary and that the additional hands entertained are those who were formerly employed in the Sub-court establishments at those places and requests that in these circumstances the old Scale may be revised at an additional cost of Rs 51, some reappropriation being effected to meet the same

6. To the same No J 1532 Dt/22-11-07

Enquires if the Chief Court can suggest any reappropriation of sanctioned grants to meet the charge involved if the additional establishment proposed is sanctioned.

7. From the same No 3711/207 Dt/27-11-07

States that the cost may be met by reappropriation of certain sanctioned grants

G.R.S. 11] 1907/8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

61 File No. 71-07

FILE HEADING.

Establishments of certain Munsiffs Courts

From

The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

No. 9621 Dated 27.8.07 Received 29.8.07

Diary No. 9621	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests that the same scale of menial and clerical establishments may be sanctioned to the newly constituted Munsiffs' courts at Chickmagalur and Hassan, as was allowed to these courts prior to 13th Dec 1902 when they were abolished

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Papers relating to Govt Notification no 8863 of 13th Dec 1902 abolishing Munsiffs' courts at Chickmagalur and Hassan have been submitted to Secretary in another connection by Box II.

2 The proposals now made do not include

NOTES—First sheet.

GPP.—30,000—20-3-06.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

for File No. 71 of 1907

Serial No.

Process establishments that may be required for these courts. A reference to the Chief Court may perhaps be made regarding them before consulting Govt in the matter

Subd for orders

ms SW 3 It is not necessary to make
31/8/07 any reference as regards the Process Establishment - The Sub Courts had Process Establishments and the same are continued -

4 Put up draft sanctioning

2-9-07 WW

5 Also note please, what were the establishments of the Courts at Dodballapur and Yedahally and whether they are the same as those in the statement received with the Registrar's letter.

2-9-07 WW

6 The clerical and menial establishments of the Dodballapur and Yedehalli Munsiffs' courts prior to their abolition are shown under.

Dodballapur

1 Head clerk on 35 Rs
1 Dy clerk — 25
2 clerks on 20 each = 40 Rs
3 - do - — 15 each = 45
1 Apprentice clerk - 10
1 Nazir — Rs 20
1 orderly — 7
1 Sowar — 6
3 Peons on 6 each = 18
1 Sweeper 5

Total 15 hands on a monthly cost of Rs 211/5
or 211 x 12 = 2532 Rs per year

Yedehalli

1 Hd Munsiff on Rs 30
1 Dy Munsiff 25
1 Munsiff 20
2 Gumastas at 15 each - 30
1 clerk 15
1 Apprentice clerk — 10
1 Dapedar 9
1 peon 7
2 peons at 6 each - 12
1 Sowar — 6
1 masalchi — 5
1 Dy Nazir (private Cooli-Nazir) — 20

14 hands at a cost of Rs 189 pm
or 189 x 12 = 2268 p. year

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 71 of 1907

Serial No.

7 These details are taken from Comptroller's letter no 3133/700 dt 3.12.06 in the Judicial Retrenchment file under submission in another connection. The above does not include proper establishment of these courts

Hay W

dt under no 61, IV, para 10 of S.O. no 1400-1406 dated the 27th November 1906, the Ep 103-06-3 establishment of the said Courts should be considered as having been transferred to the newly established Courts at Hassan and Chickmagalur. But proposals as now sent in by the Chief Court do not seem dt to agree with the establishments of the old Courts. The scale for Hassan seems to be presumably based upon that of Doddaballapur but there are variations. In the clerical establishment a Clerk on 17½ dt is included and in the menial establishment only two hands are retained as ordered in the said S.O but the pay of these menials differ. There were in Doddaballapur Munsiff's Court 1 peon on 7 and 3 on 6 but for the Hassan Court it is however proposed to give 1 Duffedar on 8 and 1 peon on 7, when there should be according to Govt order only 1 on 7 dt and 1 on 6 dt. That for Chickmagalur Court is probably based upon the establishment in

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—10,000—18-1-07

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
ecretariat.

No. File No. 71 of 1907

Serial No.

the yedchalli Munsiffs court. But the details do not agree. Two extra clerks, one on 17½ and another on 15 are included. Amongst peons the Dappedar is given 8 Rs while in the yedchalli court he was given 9 Rs. In other respects the proposed scale are alright.

The Chief Court state that the proposed scale is exactly the same as the one allowed to these Munsiffs' Courts before they were abolished vide G.O. No 9 859-60 dt 13.12.02. This probably accounts for the variations noted above.

Hy O

But the object of Government in G.O. No 9 1400-1406 dt 27.12.06 seems to have been to give these courts the establishments of the abolished court at Doddaballapur and yedchalli. If so, it is a matter for consideration whether the old scale of these courts prior to their abolition in December 1902 should be now revised. The attention of the Chief Court may perhaps be invited to the G.O of November 1906 and requested to state why their proposals are not made in accordance therewith

Hy

Draft Subd

9/9/07

12 Issue

9.9.07

Hy

Note—Contn. sheet

G P P—10,000—18-1-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

6h File No. 71 of 1907

Serial No.	
2	To the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore No 9808 & 9.9.07 Enquiries why the old scale of the establishments of these courts prior to their abolition in Dec 1902 is sought to be revived
3	From the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore No 2173/112 & 17.9.07 Explains that the old scale was recommended as the territorial jurisdiction and larger work of these courts was considered to necessitate a reversion to the old scales of these courts 13 A. D. O. has since been received from the ~~District~~ Registrar of the Chief Court requesting that a telegraphic order may if possible be sent to the Hassan Treasury authorizing the payment of advances to the officials The officials of Hassan are stated to be suffering a great deal by their pay being withheld for two months. 14 Submitted for orders Secy SN 18/9/07 18/9/07 15 Please may the establishments proposed by the Chief Court be sanctioned? ~~How deficient~~ In some respects, perhaps there is a

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

CS File No. 71 of 1907

Serial No.

difference between the establishments proposed and those of the formerly abolished munsiffs courts at Dodballapur and Yedahally - (vide the office note and the Registrar's letter Secy) 18.9.07 JCWW

16 The proposals of the chief court may be approved. In the order of Novr 06 no explicit directions were given as regards the estts of the munsiffs' courts at Hassan & Chickmagalur, and it seems to have been assumed that the clerical estts of the Courts at Dodballapur & Yedehalli would be quite ample for the new court. The estts of the Dodballapur & Yedehalli Cts appear to have been less than the old estts of the Chickmagalur & Hassan munsiffs' courts prior to 1902 & the chief court have now entertained the same scale as existed prior to 1902. It is not quite clear why the chief court made no reference in the matter before July or why the present reference was not made early in July instead of late in August. CSB 19/9.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

C5 File No. 71 of 1907

Serial No.

17 To Fin[l] Secy for Spencer before Subrah
18/9/07

for Fin[l] mr 19/9

18 Subrah to Fin[l] Secy. mr 19/9

Fin mis 324

The increase of Rs[ ] Cost involved in the proposals of the Chief Court is Rs 57 per mensem as follows:-

Hassan. + 1 clerk on 17½.

Increase of pay to
Duffedar (7) 1.
do — to 1
peon — (6) 1.

19½.

Chickanayakan. + 1 clerk 17½
+ 1 do. 15.

32½.

Decrease of pay to
Duffedar (9) — 1.

31½.

57. Rs.

18/9/07

19 I think before sanctioning, a comparison should be made with establishment of courts of equal importance. We had sometime ago a similar discussion about Seringapatam I think. If the copies is considered necessary, a reference to this may be required

Chr 19.9.07

18/9

Note—Contn sheet.

To Brit C5.

Please put up the Order File &c

—GIB—50 000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gr File No. 71 of 1907

Serial No.

1 The file referred to by Financial Secretary - Cts 231 of 06 - re additional establishment to the Chitaldrug Munsiffs' courts sent to Br II for opinion. To avoid delay in the disposal of the Case, a fresh statement may be called for from the Registrar of the Civil Court if necessary.

2 Subd for orders

MS 7/11/07 SN 21/9/07. 3 If the copy is necessary at all, the file may be got from back from the Fin: Secy and a copy of the statement alone made. 21. 9. 07. MMD

4 A comparative statement showing the work, income and expenditure of the Additional Munsiffs' Court at Bangalore, Munsiffs' Court at Kolar Gold Fields, Principal Munsiffs' Court Mysore, Munsiffs' Court Seringapatam and of Chitaldrug is herewith put up. 5 We have not got the necessary particulars of the Hassan (and Chickmagalur Munsiffs') Courts to institute comparison with the Bangalore. These particulars will have to be called for from the Registrar of the Civil Court.

6 Subd for orders to the Secy. 21. 9. 07 MMD

MS SN 21/9/07.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

Serial No.	
	25 There were no munsiffs' courts at Hassan & Chickmagalur from 1902 to 1906 & the subcourts' figures for the period may not be very reliable for comparison with other courts. I think the estts of the Yedehalli & Dodballa pen munsiffs' courts might be sanctioned permanently for the courts at Chickmagalur & Hassan, any extra estt included in the proposals being sanctioned temporarily for one year. The retention of this extra estt permanently might be considered at the end of the year.
4487/23/9	26 Decision through 1882
	27 The necessary establishment was fixed in the GO of 27 Nov 1906, in which it is not too little, & if the Chief Court considered it too little, the necessary reference should have been made long ere this. As it is, it has been made 10 months after date of order & long after Budget arrangements were made. And they seem to have stated a scale of their own on their own responsibility without the Govt sanction which is now asked for.

cls 23/9

Dewan 23-9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

Serial No.

for because the Revenue dept^t objects to dispense the higher scale. All these points & some screws loose in the Chief Comm^rs Office -

2 The establishment now asked for is only based not on actual experience but on what may be regarded as ancient history - It entails an extra cost of Rs 37 per mensem not provided for in the Budget -

3 The Chief Comm^r may under these circ^s be requested to pay both the scale fixed in Nov 1906 and report result at the end of the current official year with statistics proof thereof &

The scale fixed in Nov 1906 is joint for the two municipal Comm^rs - The Chief Comm^r may distribute the establishment as they may consider suitable without exceeding the total cost -

4 I hope may be expressed that if the establishments are properly manned by select efficient men the sanctioned scale would be found sufficient -

Sd/ W. Lee 24/9/07

5 Please submit draft as above.

CSB 24/9 WWD 24-9

Sd/ W.S.

Put up 5 pr

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

in sufficient time before the coming years budget is finalised

Serial No.

~~Draft Subd.~~ 32 The establishments that are now working in these courts since the July 1907 are on the old scale prior to 1903. Orders are solicited regarding the pay of such officials as are in excess of the scale fixed in G.O. of November 1906 and who have worked as above

Flag O

Subd
This has been included in the draft under Flag W.

SW

33 Subd for orders with a draft

No 2579/07 SW 25/9/07 The matter has to be subd to H.H. as the proposals of the dc: Ct are not sanctd

35 Draft put up - The last para sanctioning the pay of the officials entertained in excess, till the end of the current month is new -

4489(2) 26-9-07 Secy). 25.9.07 JWW

36 Devan Triplr 18/2

887

37 Please prepare a fair draft 25/9 Clerk in charge being up personally, Same time 26/9/07 JWW 26.9.07

38 Fair draft subd to the 1st Cr.

No 26/9/07 SW 26/9/07 26.9.07. JWW

39 The draft may be approved -

B497 27-9-07 26/9/07

Dft a rptd

40 Issue SW 5/10/07 JWW 5.10.07

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ltr File No. 71 of 1907

Serial No.	
41	Resubd for consideration as to whether the matter has to be subd to His Highness as ~~there is no difference of opinion~~ the proposal of the Chief Court has been negatived (The order has not ~~been~~ issued). SW 6/10/07 41a Submitted to the Secy. 10.10.07 41b Issue CRB 15/10 WWD 15.10.
4.	To the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore No J 1289-90 of 22.10.07. Orders that it is too late to consider the old scale of establishments proposed by the Chief Court and; Directs that for the current year at all events the scale fixed in G.O. No J 400-6 / Fb 103-06-3 of 27.11.06 viz that of the abolished Courts at Doddaballapura and Yedeyalli should be adhered to and that extra hands entertained should be dispensed with and adds that if on experience the scale is found inadequate a reference may be made before the passing of the next Budget for the next year.
5	From the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore - No 3111/170 of 1.11.07. States that the work in the Hassan

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

6b File No. 71 of 1907

Serial No.

and Chickmagalur munsiffs' courts is much heavier than what it was in the Doddaballapur and Yedahalli courts; that the increase of establishments for the courts is very necessary and that the additional hands entertained are those who were formerly appointed in the Sub Court establishments at those places and requests that in these circumstances the old scale may be revived at an additional cost of 5/- Rs, some reappropriation being effected to meet the same.

4b It is not clear if the Chief Court intend making reappropriation or if it is their desire that it should be effected from the Budget allotments of other Departments. Subd for orders to the Secretary

11.11.07. 11.11.07. 11.11.07.

4c Ask Chief Court if they can suggest any reappropriation of sanctioned grants to meet the charge if sanctioned

14/11.

4d Put up draft please

14.11.07.

4e 14/11/07 Draft Subd

16.11.07. 16/11/07

4f Issue

19.11.07

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

Serial No.

6 To the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore
No 9/1592 of 22.11.07
Enquire if the Chief Court can suggest any reappropriation of sanctioned grants to meet the charge involved if the additional establishment proposed is sanctioned.

7 From the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore. No 3711/209 of 27.11.07
State that the cost may be met by reappropriation of certain sanctioned grants under "Law and justice"
(1) The cost for the current year is Rs 51 X 12 or Rs 612 and not Rs 600 as stated by the Registrar of the Chief Court. Submitted for orders [Scheduled matter]
Mysore 30.11.07 SN 30/11/07 Secy) 30.11.07 Illegible

2.12.07

(2) The Chief Court urge for the entertainment of Estt on the scale prior to 1903 & have proposed certain reappropriations to meet the cost in the current year.
(3) In the circs the proposals may be approved
PS to C
CSB 4/12/07 Illegible 4.12

5066 4/12/07

(4) Yes Illegible 4 Dec 07

(5) To Dewan through 2nd C CSB 4/12/07 Illegible 4.12

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17.8.07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

15

Serial No. 54

The Chief Courts' proposals may be approved

BWK
6/12/07

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

55 Submitted to His Highness.

56 These papers relate to the establishment required for the newly constituted Munsiffs' Courts at Chickmagalur and Hassan.

57 Under Government Order No.J.859-60 dated the 13th December 1902, the Munsiffs' Courts at Hassan and Chickmagalur were abolished with effect from the 1st January 1903, and in their stead Subordinate Judges' Courts were established at these places. In passing orders on the proposals of the Retrenchment Committee in regard to the Judicial Department, the Government in their Proceedings dated the 27th November 1906, directed that these two Subordinate Judges' Courts as well as the Munsiffs' Courts at Yedehalli and Doddaballapur should be abolished from the 1st July 1907, that ~~instead~~ in the place of the two Sub Courts thus abolished, Munsiffs' Courts should be re-established at Hassan and Chickmagalur and that the establishments of the abolished Munsiffs' Courts should be transferred to the newly constituted Courts.

58 In giving effect to the retrenchments ordered, the Chief Court authorised the entertainment for the 2 re-constituted Munsiffs' Courts of the same scale of establishment as had been allowed to those Courts before they were replaced by Sub Courts and on the 27th August 1907 solicited the sanction of Government for this scale of establishment which exceeded the establishments of the abolished Courts at Yedehalli and Doddaballapur by Rs.51 per mensem. The Chief Court when asked to state why the old scale of establishment was sought to be revived, explained that

the territorial jurisdiction of the 2 new Courts was larger than that of the 2 abolished Courts and the work heavier, and that the old scale of establishment was therefore necessary. The Government in their order dated the 22nd October 1907 pointed out that the establishments attached to the Munsiffs' Courts at Doddaballapur and Yedehalli should be regarded as transferred to Hassan and Chickmagalur, that it was then too late to consider the proposals which involved additional expenditure, and that if any additional establishment was found on actual experience to be required, proposals therefor might be submitted with statistics in time before the next budget was passed. The extra hands entertained were also ordered to be dispensed with.

59 The Chief Court now represents that the increased establishment is very necessary as the work in the Hassan and Chickmagalur Munsiffs' Courts is much heavier than that in the Doddaballapur and Yedehalli Courts and that the additional men entertained are those who were formerly employed in the Sub Courts at those places. The Chief Court therefore solicits sanction to the old scale of establishment, at an additional cost of Rs.51 per mensem, and to meet the extra cost of the current year, proposes a reappropriation of the sanctioned grants in the current year's budget.

60 The Councillors are of opinion that, under the circumstances, the proposals of the Chief Court may be approved. This may be sanctioned.

27/11/1907

18

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

28.12.07
494

Additional clerical and menial establishment to the Munsiffs' Courts at Chikmagalur and Hassan.

496.

61 *Order.*
Approved
~~K R W~~
31/12/07

496-2
4.1.08

31/1/1908

62 Issue orders accdt.
CRB

63 Put up draft please 4/1/08.
6-1-08.

1409

19

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gb File No. 71 of 1907-08

Serial No.	
	64 Submitted with a draft order. Hes SW 9/1/08 & 10/1/08
	65 Issue 9.1.08 JWW
8	To the Registrar, Chief Court & the Comptroller. No. J 2101-2 dated 31/1/08. Sanctions the additional establishments to the Munsiffs' Courts at Hassan & Chikmagalur as recommended by the Chief Court at an extra cost of Rs 5-1 per month; and requests the Registrar of the Chief Court to submit for sanction through the Comptroller the necessary re-appropriation statement.
	66 Issued and submitted to the first Councillor for information Hes 17.1.08. SW 17/1/08.
	II Councillor)

Complete the note & submit for sanction.

Forwarded.
SW 20/1/08

BRee 4/2/08

Received
14/2/08

Approved
6/2/08

BRee 4/2

NOTE—Contn sheet

No: 1680 Genl/Rev/1 J621
95 III 424-8 6671-07

Bangalore, 27th August 1907.

From,

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar Esquire,

Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

C.S.Balasundaram Iyar Esquire,

Offg: Secretary to Government,

Genl:and Rev: Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to Proceedings of Government No: J. 1400-1406/ Cts. 103-06-3, Dated 27th November 1906, directing the abolition of the Subordinate Judge's Courts at Chickmagalur and Hassan and the re-establishment of Munsiffs' Courts in their stead, I am directed to forward herewith a statement showing the scale of both clerical and menial establishment which should be allowed to the newly constituted munsiff's Courts and to request that you will be so good as to obtain the early sanction of Government for the same. I am to add that the scale of the clerical establishment now proposed is exactly the same as the one allowed to the Munsiffs' Courts at those places which were abolished, Vide Government Notification No: 863 Dated 13th December 1902, and that of the menial establishment is also the same less 2 peons on ~~Rs~~ ordered to be retrenched in Para 7 of the Government Proceedings referred to above.

I have the honor to be,

sir,

Your most obedient servant

For Registrar.

A.V.M.

Deputy Munshi	1	25	300	Dy Munshi	25	
3rd Munshi	1	20	240	3rd Munshi	20	
Gumastha	1	17½	210			
Gumasthas	2	15	360	2 Gumasthas @15	30	
Clerks	2	15	360	Clerk	15	
Nazir	1	20	240	Nazir	20	
Apprentice clerk	1	10	120	apprentice clerk	10 / 150	1800
Total	10		2,190-0-0		8	
Daffadar	1	8	96	Daffedar	9	
Peon	1	7	84	Peon	7	
Gollar	1	6	72	Gollar	6	
Masalachi	1	5	60	masalchi	5	
Total	4		312-0-0	2 Peons	(6)	
Grand Total	14		2502-0-0			

Insp's Court checkmagalist

Examined & Approved

for Registrar.

Proposed Scale of the Clerical and menial establishment of the Hassan and Chickmagalur Munsiffs' courts.

Court to which the proposal relates.	Designation	Number	Rate per mensem	Cost per annum	Remarks.
Munsiffs' Court, Hassan	Head munshi	1	35/	420	Head Clerk 35
	Deputy munshi	1	25/	300	Dy Clerk 25
	3rd munshi	1	20/	240	Clerk 20
	Gumastahs	2	15/	360	2 Clerks @ 15 30
	Clerk	1	20/	240	Clerk 20
	Clerk	1	17½	210	Clerk 15
	Nazir	1	20/	240	Nazir 20
	~~Clerk~~	1	15/	180	
	Apprentice clerk.	1	10/	120	apprentice clerk 10.
	Total	10	—	2310-0-0	9 175 ×12 2100
	Duffadar	1	8	96	
	Peon	1	7	84	Peon 7
	Gollar	1	6	72	Gollan 6
	Masalachi	1	5	60	Sweeper 5
	Total	4	..	312	3 Peons 6.
	Grand Total	14	..	2622-0-0.	
	Head Munshi	1	30	360	Head Munshi 30

1904 – 5	510	312	8-31	1908	14,640	8	–		do		do		do	4969	15	–	"	
1905 – 6	465	356	931	1834	11,907	10	–		do		do		12,625	5772	4	–	"	
					(4) Seringapatam Munsiff's Court													
1902 – 3	995	493	573	2275	15,943	1	–	1 Hd. Munshi on Rs 40/ 1 Dy " 25/ 1 Court Nazir 25/ 1 Seleti 20/ 1 do Rs 17½/ 4 do 15/ Each X This is not correct (For comptroller's figures vide below)		2-250	–	–	15,774	7921	4	–	1 Dy. Nazir 3 Amins on Rs 16/ Each 6 do 14/ " 8 peons 8/ " 30 peons 7/ " X This is not correct (For comptroller's figures vide below)	5812	5	7
1903 – 4	1142	494	832	2802	19,177	12	"		do		do		15,512	8539	8	"	do			
1904 – 5	995	502	744	2483	15,904	6	"		do		do		14,420	7572	8	–	1 Dy. Nazir 3 Amins on Rs 16/ Each 6 " 14/ " 9 peons 8/ " 29 " 7/ "	5810	8	7
1905 – 6	1063	401	995	2667	16,503	–	–		do		do		12,604	7424	8	–	do	50		
					(5) The Munsiff's Court at Chitaldrug													
1902 – 3 1903 – 4					There was no Munsiff's Court at Chitaldrug													
1904 – 5	1108	650	969	1780	16,771	3	–	1 Hd. Munshi on Rs 30/ 2 Munshis on Rs 25/ & 20/ 1 Court Nazir on Rs 20/ 3 Sumastas on 15/ Each		840	–	–	10,286	5867	2	6	1 Dy. Nazir on Rs 20/ 1 Amin on Rs 16/ 4 Amins on Rs 14/	1242	–	–
1905 – 6	906	524	1161	1939	15,753	8	–	do Vide Comptroller's figures vide below		do			9974	5721	12	–	3 Peons on Rs 8/ Each 13 peons on Rs 7/ " do Vide Comptroller's figures vide below			

Seringapatam Munsiff's Court

A Court Estt:

1	Head Munshi	Rs 40	
1	Dy "	25	
1	3rd "	20	
3	Sumastas at 15/	45	
1	Clerk	20	
1	do	17½	
1	Nazir	20	
1	Process clerk	15	
1	Apprentice clerk	10	
1	Daffedar	7	Rs
1	Sellar	6	Rs
3	peons at Rs 6/	18	Rs
1	Sweeper	5	Rs
	Cost per annum	Rs 2982/	

B. Process Estt:

1	Dy Nazir on Rs 25	
3	Amins at 16 Rs/	48
10	Amins at 14 Rs/	140
9	peons at 8 Rs/	72
29	peons at 7 Rs/	203
	Total	488 X 12
		= 5856 per annum

Chitaldrug Munsiff's Court

A Court Estt:

1	Hd. Munshi on Rs 30/	
1	Dy. "	25/
1	3rd "	20/
1	Nazir	20/
3	Sumastas at 15/	46/
1	Sellar	6/
1	Daffedar	7/
3	peons at 6 Each	18/
1	Naraiki	5/
		176 X 12

B Process Estt:

1	Dy: Nazir on Rs 20/	
1	1st Grade Amin	16/
3	2nd Grade amins at 14 each	42/
3	1st Grade peons at 8 Rs	24/
9	2nd class peons at 7 Rs	63
	Total	Rs 165 or 1980

Comparative statement showing the number of cases filed, Establishments, income & expenditure, relating to the Courts of the Additional Munsiff of Bangalore; Munsiff, Kolar Gold Fields; Principal Munsiff, Mysore; Munsiff Seringapatam & Munsiff, Chitaldrug for the 4 years 1902-3, 1903-4, 1904-5 & 1905-6.

Year	No. of cases filed. Original	Small Causes	Miscellaneous	Execution	Amount of Court Fees realised	Strength of Court Establishment	Cost thereof	Amount of Process work	Process Fee realised	Strength of Process Establishment	Cost thereof	Remarks
					(1) Additional Munsiff's Court, Bangalore.							
1902-3	462	415	799	1410	Rs 8599 8 -	1 Hd. Munshi on Rs 35/ 1 Dy. " " 25/ 1 Nazir " 25/ 3 Clerks 20/ each 3 " 15/ each	2280 - -	10,025	4887 12 -	"	"	The processes of this Court are executed by the Central Nazareth Estt.
1903-4	433	494	804	1330	8999 - -	Do	Do	10,611	4768 8 -	"	"	Do
1904-5	458	538	638	1255	8805 6 -	Do	Do	11,939	5646 4 "	"	"	Do
1905-6	500	468	751	1575	9172 2 -	Do	Do	(not furnished)	5536 12 -	"	"	Do
					(2) The Munsiff's Court at Kolar Gold Fields							
1902-3	The Court was not in existence during this year.											
1903-4 (from 1-10-03)	428	288	205	574	7813 6 -	1 Hd. Munshi on Rs 35/ 1 Dy. " " 25/ 2 Munshis 20/ each 1 Court Nazir 20/ 2 Clerks 17½/ each 2 Gumastas 15/ each + Local allowance	1665 - -	3430	1331 12 -	1 Dy. Nazir on Rs 20/ 1 Amin 18/ 3 Amins 14/ each 3 peons 8/ each 9 peons 7/ each	1681 10 3	Including local allowance
1904-5	507	403	336	1005	10,999 4 -	Do	2220 - -	? 5601	2705 8 -	In Febr 1905, one 1st & two 2nd grade Munsiffs' servants were transferred to Kolar	2463 3 11	?
1905-6	597	544	405	1279	13,211 6 -	Do	Do	5183	2871 12 -	Do	Do	
					(3) Principal Munsiff's Court at Mysore							
1902-3	486	350	823	1916	14,009 8 -	1 Hd. Munshi on Rs 40/ 2 Munshis on Rs 25, 20 1 Nazir Rs 20/ 2 Clerks 20 & 17½ 5 Each	2480 - -	(not furnished)	4995 - -			The processes of this are executed by the Central Nazar

4

G.R.S. 26]

A.D.

4h File No. 71-0

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 9621

9808

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Courts)

, *the* 190

To

The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

Date of issue 9.9.07

Signed by M K Nyler Rao

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 1650/95 dated the 27th August 1907 regarding your proposals re the clerical establishment to the Munsiffs' courts at Chickmagalur and Hassan, I am directed to invite your reference to Rule under IV. Munsiffs' Courts, para 10 G.G.O. no 1400-1406 dated 27th November 1906 and to state that your proposals do not seem to be made in accordance thereunto. 2a

Courts 403-06-3

Hay W

over.

UBJECT.

5

therefore to enquire why the old scale of the establishment allowed to the Municipal Courts at those places prior to their abolition under G.O. no 1 859-60 May 0 dated the 13th December 1902 is sought to be revised.

I have etc

for Secretary

Rup 6/9/07 CW 9/9/07

List of enclosures.

Copied by [illegible]

Examined by [illegible]

3CPB—30,000—16-2-06

2797 6

3

Gb 71-07

Chief Court of Mysore

Bangalore dated 17th September 1907.

From,

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar Esq.,

Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esq.,

Offg: Secretary to Government,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Referring to your No.J.808-Cts.71-07-2 dated 9th instant enquiring why the old scale of the establishment allowed to the Munsiffs' Courts at Hassan and Chickmagalur prior to their abolition under G.O.No.J.859-60 dated 13th December 1902, is sought to be revived, I am directed to state that the difference between the old scale of the Hassan Munsiff's Court and that of the late Dodballapur Munsiff's Court is only one clerk on Rs.17½ and difference between the old scale of the Chickmagalur Munsiff's Court and that of the late Yedahalli Munsiff's Court is one clerk on Rs.17½ and one on Rs.15/-. The note referred to in your letter was not understood to embody the final views of Government, as it is entered only tentatively for purposes of calculation. As the territorial jurisdiction and larger work that would fall to these Courts was considered to necessitate a reversion to the old scales of these Courts, the proposal has been submitted accordingly.

As regards the scale of the menial establishment of the Hassan Munsiff's Court, I am to invite your attention to Government Proceedings No.19750-51/J.1362 dated 14th May 1894 wherein the pay of the Duffadar and one peon was increased from 7 to 8 and 6 to 7 respectively and as such the scale proposed by this Court may be sanctioned. I am to request the very early

sanction

sanction of Government to the scale proposed in this Office No. 1680-95 dated 27th ultimo. I am to add that the Comptroller has not instructed the Treasury Officer at Hassan to encash the pay bill of the Munsiff's Court of that place for want of Government sanction for the scale and the salary of the officials for the last two months is therefore undisbursed and they are put to great hardship. I am to request that pending final orders provisional sanction for the proposed scale may be obtained and forwarded at a very early date.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

REGISTRAR.

B.V.

Dear Mr Balasundaram

My dear Mr Balasundaram

In this office letter dated 25th August 1927 a request was asked for the seal of Govt was asked for the establishment of the Harijan Insp Ct. The seale is what was existed prior to the formation of the Sub Court A reply to this letter calling for some explanation was sent yesterday

The officials are suffering a great deal by their pay being withheld for two months, as will be seen from the enclosed telegram. I am desired by the Chief Justice to request that telegraphic order may, if possible, be sent to the Hassan Treasury authorizing payment of advances to the officials.

Yours sincerely

H. Clayton Mathews

Code Class.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number.

To (Office).

From (Office).

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.

To

(Person)

From

(Person)

32 Words Form.

T. 14.

from LN.

At Hrs. Mts. by

Sent to LN.

At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master

* In foreign telegrams the *Person from* if telegraphed, should be written after, but separated from the text.

Draft reply to Govt is sent for approval.

The Comptroller has been ascertained has no authority to sanction disbursement of any advance provisionally.

The letter to Govt will be despatched at once & also expedited

17/9/17

Very

Kindly see to this at once. The order for any (either as advance or other wise should be issued today by wire if possible. Please telephone how you manage this.

17/9/17

G.L.S. 28]

File No. 71-07

Serial No. 1.

Diary No. of letter replied to J 797

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

the 190

Date of issue 22-10-07

Signed by M K Nilakanta Rao

READ—

Letter no 1680/95 dated the 27th August 1907 from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore forwarding for sanction a statement showing the scale of clerical and menial establishments for the newly constituted Munsiffs' courts at Chickmagalur and Hassan and adding that the said scale is the same as that allowed for the Munsiffs courts at those places prior to their abolition over

ORDER THEREON.—No.

SUBJECT

under Government Notification no 863 dated the 13th December 1902 except in the case of menials, whose strength is reduced by 2 peons on Rs 6 each as ordered in para 7 of Government order no 1400-1406 / Cts 103-06-3 dated the 27th November 1906.

2. Letter no 2172 / 112 dated the 17th September 1907 from the same officer explaining why the old scale of establishment allowed to these courts is sought to be revived

Order thereon no. J-1289-90 / Cts 71-07-4 & 22-10-07

In passing orders abolishing the subordinate judge's courts at Chickmagalur and Hassan and establishing munsiffs' courts at those places, instead, it was the intention of Government

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

that the establishments of the Munsiffs' Courts at Doddaballapur and Yedehalli also abolished at the same time should be given to the newly constituted Munsiffs' Courts at Chickmagalur and Hassan and it was accordingly stated at head IV in para 10 of the Government Proceedings No. J1400-6 dated the 6th 103-06-3 27th November 1906 that the Munsiffs' Courts at Doddaballapur and Yedehalli and their establishments should be regarded as transferred to Hassan and Chickmagalur. If a different standard for scales of establishment was necessary such as that which held more than 4 years ago when Munsiffs' Courts existed at Chickmagalur and Hassan, proposals in that behalf should have

been sent up for the necessary
sanction of Government
before the passing of the budget
for the current official year
But the Chief Court has
entertained the higher
scale on its own ~~request~~ motion,
and Government sanction
is sought only after the
Treasury Department has
objected to disburse the
salaries of the establishment.

2. Government observe
that it is too late now
to consider the proposals
of the Chief Court which
involve an additional
cost of Rs 51 per mensem
based as they are upon
a state of things long past
It is, therefore, necessary
that the scale fixed in
Government Proceedings
above cited should be
adhered to at all events
for the current year.
The Chief Court may
distribute the establish-
ments of the abolished
courts at Dodballapur
and Yedehalli between the
two Courts at Chickmagalur

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

and Hassan as it may consider suitable without exceeding the total cost. Government expect that if the establishments are properly manned by select efficient men, the sanctioned scale would be found to be sufficient. If, however, any additional establishment is found on actual experience to be required proposals may be submitted in sufficient time before ~~passing~~ the ~~existing~~ next budget (to be laid) with the necessary statistics, showing the volume of work in these two courts as compared with ~~the~~ similar courts in the State.

The extra hands entertained should be dispensed with. If they are men without substantive appointments in the public service, a bill for any remuneration that may be due to them

should be sent up for formal sanction with an intimation of the source from which the extra charge can be met.

MM

For Secretary

To the Registrar & the Chief Editor
the Comptroller.

26/9/07

MS
26/9/07

Type
KNR
18/10/07
Exd
VN.
15/10

No. 3111/170

18 J 1188

Bangalore, dated 1st November 1907.

From, 71-07-5.

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar Esq.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esq.,
Offg: Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of Government Proceedings No.J.1289-90/Cts.71-07-4 dated the 22nd ultimo in re the establishment of the newly constituted Munsiffs' Courts at Hassan and Chickmagalur.

With references to the observations contained in para 1 of the said proceedings, I am directed to state that the note appended to head IV in para 10 of Government proceedings No.J. 1400-6/Cts.103-06-3 dated the 27th November 1906 about the establishments of the Doddaballapur and Yedahalli Munsiffs' Courts was understood to be for 'purposes of calculation' only as expressly stated and there being no other directions about the transfer of these establishments to the new Munsiffs' Courts at Hassan and Chickballapur it was presumed that the abolition of the Sub Judges' Courts and the substitution of Munsiffs' Courts was merely a restoration of what had prevailed before the institution of the Sub Judges' Courts, only 3 years previously. If an alteration of scale was intended it was thought that the opinion of this Court would have been asked for, as it would have to be fixed only after a consideration of the probable quantity and quality of work. The average file of the Dodballapur Munsiff's

Court

Court was 463 original suits & 307 small causes whereas that of the Munsiff's Court at Hassan will be 648 original suits & 336 small causes. Similarly the file of the Yedahalli Munsiff was 313 original suits and 108 small causes but that of the Chickmagalur Munsiff will be 480 original suits and 156 small causes. Unless a sufficient number of hands are allowed the work of the Courts will materially suffer. For the Hassan Munsiff's Court 10 hands are absolutely necessary as against 9 of the Doddaballapur Court and for Chickmagalur the same number or two hands over and above the staff of the Yedahalli Munsiff's Court is likewise necessary. In the Budget estimates submitted by this Court, the old scale was entered in regard to these two new Courts but it was altered by Government.

The extra hands referred to in para 3 of the said proceedings are not new men entertained. They were formerly in the Sub Court establishments and it is hard that their services should be dispensed with so suddenly.

I am to request that Government may be pleased to reconsider the matter and accord sanction to the old scale being continued. To meet the cost of ₹.51/- per mensem, not provided for in the Budget, some reappropriation may be effected.

The favour of early orders is requested.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B.V.

REGISTRAR.

ab 20 bb

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 71-07
Serial No. 76

Diary No. of letter replied to 9 1688

No. J 1592

General and Revenue Secretariat

(bb)

, *the* 190

Date of issue 22-11-07

Signed by Mr K. Mysar Rao

To The Registrar
of the Chief Court of Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence
ending with your letter no 3111/170
dated the 15th November 1907, regarding
~~re~~ the establishments for the
the newly constituted Munsiff's
Courts at Hassan and
Chickmagalur, I am
directed to enquire if
with reference to the
last para of your
letter whether the Chief
Court can suggest
any reappropriation
of sanctioned grants

over.

SUBJECT.

to meet the charge involved, if the additional establishment proposed is sanctioned.

I have etc

for Secretary

No. SIV
16.11.07.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by 21/11

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

No: 5711/1109 Ch III 27-11-07

J 1409

Bangalore 25th November 1907

From,

V. A. Rajaratna Mudaliar Esq;
Registrar Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyengar Esq;
C/ff: Secretary to Government,
General and Rev: Departments

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No: J. 1592/Lts: 71-07-6 dated 22nd Instant enquiring whether this Court can suggest any reappropriation of sanctioned grants to meet the charge involved if the additional Establishment proposed to the Hassan and Chickmagalur Munsiffs' Courts is sanctioned, I am directed to state that the cost which is Rs: 600/- for the current official year may be reappropriated as follows:—

Rs: 150 out of Rs: 300 provided for travelling allowance to Sub Judges,
Rs: 300 out of Rs: 500/- provided for travelling allowance to Munsiffs, Rs: 50/-
out of Rs: 200/- pro[illegible]ded for travelling

23

allowance to Establishments of Sub Courts and Rs: 100/- provided for Law charges to District Courts.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant;

[illegible]

Registrar.

CS

G.R.S. 28]

24

Confirmed
No 264880
Ctb 27-07-2

ab

Ctb File No. 71-07
Serial No. 8
27-3-08

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, *the* 190

Date of issue 11-1-08

Signed by Mr K. Mylarrao

READ—

Govt. Proceedings N° J 1289-90 dated the 22nd October 1907, Ctb 71-07-4, declining the sanction the entertainment for the two reconstituted Munsiffs Courts at Hassan and

ORDER THEREON.—No.

Chickmagalur, of the same scale of establishment as had been allowed to these Courts before they were replaced by Sub Courts, which exceeded the establishments of the

over

SUBJECT

abolished Courts at Ade Yedehalli and Dodballapur by Rs 51 per mensem and directing that the extra hands entertained be dispensed with.

(2) Letter No 3111/170 dated the 1st November 1907. from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore. stating that the increased establishment is absolutely necessary as the work in the Hassan and Chickmagalur Munsiffs' Courts is much heavier than what it was in the Dodballapur and Yedehalli Courts and that the additional men entertained being those who were formerly employ in the Sub Courts at those places, it would be hard upon them if their services were dispensed with suddenly.

(3) Letter No 3711/209 dated

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

the 27th November 1907 from the same officer, stating in reply to this office letter No J 1592 – Cts 71-07-6 dated the 22nd November 1907, that the additional cost may be met by reappropriation of certain sanctioned grants under "Law & Justice" in the Current year's budget.

Order thereon No – J.2101-2 Cts 71-07-8 – dated 11th January 1908.

Under the circumstances now represented, the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja are pleased to sanction the additional establishments asked for by the Munsiffs Court of Hassan and Chickmagalur as recommended by the Chief Court at an extra cost of Rs 51 as detailed in the margin. The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore is requested to submit for sanction through the Comptroller the necessary reappropriation Statement in the usual form for meeting the extra charge for the Current year provision for the same.

A. Munsiffs Court at Hassan.

(i). Clerk on Rs 17½ per measure

(ii) Increase of pay to Daffedar, now getting Rs 7 — Re 1 p.m.

(iii) Do – Do to one peon now getting Rs 6 ——— Re 1 p.m.

Total Rs 19½ p.m.

B. Munsiffs' Court at Chick Magalur.

(i) one Clerk on Rs 17½ p.m.

(ii) Do —— Do — 15 —

Rs 32½

(iii) Decrease of pay to Daffedar now getting Rs 9 – Rs 1

Total Rs 31½

Total of A+B. Rs 51 p.m.

MGCPB—30,000—5-4-05.

being made in future
budgets in due course.

Sd/- K. M.

for Secretary vide note.

To

The Registrar of the Chief
Court of Mysore.

a Comptroller to Govt.

Dft W/
Hes
9/1/08